

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/927,183	08/10/2001	Oludele Olusegun Popoola	198-1162	5329
759	90 08/07/2003			
Daniel H. Bliss			EXAMINER	
Bliss McGlynn of Suite 600	& Nolan, P.C.	•	FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	
2075 West Big Beaver Road		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Troy, MI 4808	4		1774	5
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A
		Application N .	Applicant(s)	
Offic	Action Summary	09/927,183	POPOOLA ET AL.	
		Examin r	Art Unit	
The MA	II INC DATE of the	Lawrence D Ferguso	n 1774	
Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communica	tion appears n the cover sh	eet with th correspondence address	
- Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON If the period for report of the period for report of the period for reply with a hory reply received - Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA may be available under the provisions of 3 THS from the mailing date of this communically specified above is less than thirty (30) do ly is specified above, the maximum statute in the set or extended period for reply will, by the Office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. FOR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ication. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum my period will apply and will expire.SIX (6) by statute cases the apply and the period will apply and will expire.SIX (6) by statute cases the apply and will expire.SIX (6) by statute cases the apply and the the apply apply apply apply apply apply apply and the apply	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication	ation.
1) Respons	sive to communication(s) filed	on 15 May 2002	·	
· <u> </u>				
,	/	This action is non-final.	•	
closed in Disposition of Cla	. accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 193	l matters, prosecution as to the merit 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	is is
4)⊠ Claim(s)	1,2,5 and 7 is/are pending in t	he application.		
	above claim(s) is/are w	•		
	is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>f</u>	1,2,5 and 7 is/are rejected.		•	
	is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) _	are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement		
Application Papers	5			
9)☐ The specifi	cation is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10)☐ The drawin	g(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant	may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) Ine propos	sed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approve	ed, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this Office action.		
	r declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner.		
	.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowled	igment is made of a claim for t	foreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)L_All_b)[_	Some * c)☐ None of:		•	
	ified copies of the priority docu			
2.☐ Cert	ified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been received i	n Application No	
3.∐ Copi	ies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ched detailed Office action for	e priority documents have be	en received in this National Stage	
14) Acknowledge	ment is made of a claim for do	a list of the certified copies i	not received.	
a) ☐ The tra	inslation of the foreign language	nesuc priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicat	ion).
15) Acknowledg	ment is made of a claim for do	ge provisional application has	C & 120 and/or 121	
ttachment(s)		i pilishiy undor oo o,o	33 120 and/01 121.	
Information Disclosu	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 rre Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	O) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5	



Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the amendment mailed May 15, 2003. Claims 3-4 and 6 were canceled and claims 1-2, 5 and 7 were amended rendering claims 1-2, 5 and 7 pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(b)

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Longo et al (US 3,723,165).
- 4. Longo discloses a thermally sprayed article (flame spraying) used for applying metal and plastic coatings (column 1, lines 7-49) where the plastics include polymers (column 1, lines 65-68). Longo discloses a metal substrate material can be thermally sprayed on the article to form an inner layer (column 3, lines 54-60) where the sprayed coating forms the outer layer. Longo discloses the mixture of plastic powder and metal are co-deposited (column 2, lines 46-60) where the bond coat has a thickness of 0.005 inches and the top coat has a thickness of 0.001 inches (column 4, lines 15-20) resulting in the hardness of the outer layer being less than that of the

Art Unit: 1774

inner layer. This would be inherent, because the plastic/metal mixture would be softer than the base metal alone.

Response to Arguments

5. Rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph has been withdrawn due to Applicant's canceling claim 3. Rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godel (US 5,829,405) in view of JP 60190497 has been withdrawn due to Applicant's amending claim 1 and canceling claims 3-4 and 6.

Applicant's arguments to rejection made under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Longo et al (US 3,723,165) has been considered but is unpersuasive. Applicant argues Longo et al. does not disclose an article substrate, an inner layer formed on the article substrate of a metal material having a first predetermined thickness, an outer layer formed on the inner layer of a composite made of a polymer and the metal material having a second predetermined thickness. Examiner is not persuaded by this argument because Longo discloses a metal substrate material can be thermally sprayed on the article to form an inner layer (column 3, lines 54-60) where the sprayed coating forms the outer layer. Longo discloses the mixture of plastic powder and metal are co-deposited (column 2, lines 46-60) where the bond coat has a thickness of 0.005 inches and the top coat has a thickness of 0.001 inches (column 4, lines 15-20) resulting in the hardness of the outer layer being less than that of the inner layer. Applicant states that claim 2 has been amended similar to claim 1 and includes the feature of the second predetermined thickness being less than the first predetermined thickness. As previously stated, Longo discloses the bond coat

Art Unit: 1774

has a thickness of 0.005 inches and the top coat has a thickness of 0.001 inches (column 4, lines 15-20) resulting in the hardness of the outer layer being less than that of the inner layer. Applicant recites In re Arkley, where anticipation requires the presence of a single prior art reference, which discloses each and every element of the claimed invention arranged as in the claim. Examiner contends the reference Longo et al. anticipates claims 1,2,5 and 7. Applicant reiterates that Longo et al. lacks an article substrate, an inner layer formed on the article substrate of a metal material having a first predetermined thickness, an outer layer formed on the inner layer of a composite made of a polymer and the metal material having a second predetermined thickness. Examiner respectfully disagrees because Longo discloses a metal substrate material can be thermally sprayed on the article to form an inner layer (column 3, lines 54-60) where the sprayed coating forms the outer layer. Longo discloses the mixture of plastic powder and metal are co-deposited (column 2, lines 46-60) where the bond coat has a thickness of 0.005 inches and the top coat has a thickness of 0.001 inches (column 4, lines 15-20) resulting in the hardness of the outer layer being less than that of the inner layer. Applicant argues Longo et al. does not disclose thermally spraying a metal material against an article substrate. Examiner is not persuaded by this argument because Longo discloses a thermally sprayed article (flame spraying) used for applying metal and plastic coatings (column 1, lines 7-49) where the plastics include polymers (column 1, lines 65-68). Longo discloses a metal substrate material can be thermally sprayed on the article to form an inner layer (column 3, lines 54-60) where the sprayed coating forms the outer layer.

Art Unit: 1774

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Funk (U.S. 5,897,947) discloses a thermally sprayed article (column 3, lines 6-7).

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is (703) 305-9978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM – 4:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. Please allow the examiner twenty-four hours to return your call.

Page 5

Art Unit: 1774

Page 6

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Ĺawrence D. Ferguson

Examiner Art Unit 1774 CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

CystHelf